

Econ 836 Final Exam

RULES: No notes or other source materials are permitted. All such items must remain on the floor. You may use only: pens, pencils, rulers, erasers, calculators and watches. Be sure to carefully write down all assumptions that you use to answer the question, including any calculations that you may make. Write your NAME on the front page of your exam booklet. Show your work, including numerical calculations. The exam is out of 40 points.

1. [4 points] Let

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= X\beta + \varepsilon \\ E[X'\varepsilon] &= 0_K \\ E[\varepsilon\varepsilon'] &= \sigma^2 I_N \end{aligned}$$

Consider the "ridge estimator"  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X + \lambda I_K)^{-1} X'Y$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (X'X + \lambda I_K + \lambda I_K)^{-1} &= (X'X)^{-1} (I_K + \lambda (X'X + \lambda I_K)^{-1}) \\ &= (X'X)^{-1} (I_K + G) \end{aligned}$$

where  $G = \lambda (X'X + \lambda I_K)^{-1}$  and is symmetric.

What is the bias and variance of this estimator?

a) bias.

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= E[(X'X + \lambda I_K)^{-1} X'Y] = E[(X'X)^{-1} (I + G) X'Y] \\ &= E[(I + G)(X'X)^{-1} X'Y] = E[(I + G)(X'X)^{-1} X'X\beta] + E[(I + G)(X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon] \\ &= E[(I + G)\beta] + [(I + G)(X'X)^{-1}] E[X'\varepsilon] = [(I + G)\beta] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{bias} = G\beta$$

b) variance

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\left(\hat{\beta} - E[\hat{\beta}]\right)^2\right] &= E\left[\left((I + G)(X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon\varepsilon'X(X'X)^{-1} (I + G)\right)\right] \\ &= \sigma^2 I_N \left((I + G)(X'X)^{-1} X'X(X'X)^{-1} (I + G)\right) \\ &= \sigma^2 \left((I + G)(X'X)^{-1} (I + G)\right) \end{aligned}$$

2. [4 points] Suppose you have an unbiased estimator of a parameter vector. Suppose also you have estimated the parameter vector with a very large sample, and, invoking a central limit theorem, have found the sampling distribution of your estimated parameters to be

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

a) Test the hypothesis that the two parameters are equal to each other.

- i)  $d = -2 - 2 = -4$
- ii)  $V(a-b) = v(a) + v(b) - 2\text{cov}(a,b) = 4 + 9 - 2 = 11$
- iii)  $t = -4/\sqrt{11} \sim -4/3.5$  is distributed normally under the null. this is less than 2, so don't reject

b) Use a Wald Test Statistic to test the hypothesis that  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = -1$ . Do you reject the hypothesis?

i) joint hypothesis.

$$H_0 : R\beta + r = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$T = (R\hat{\beta} + r)' (RV(\hat{\beta})' R)^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} + r) \sim \chi_2^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ii) } T &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 9/35 & -1/35 \\ -1/35 & 4/35 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} -12/35 & 13/3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 51/35 \end{aligned}$$

iii) about 1.5, much less than the crit for a chi2 with 2 df.

c) Construct a test statistic for the hypothesis that  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = -2$ . Do you reject the hypothesis?

i)  $d=2$

ii)  $v(d) = v(a+b) = v(a) + v(b) + 2\text{cov}(a,b) = 4 + 9 + 2 = 15$

iii)  $d/\sqrt{v(d)} = 2/4 = 0.5$ , is less than 2 the crit for a normal.

d) If the hypothesis in b) is true, then the hypothesis in c) is true. Why are test statistics different?

i) one is a normal, the other is a chi-square.

3. [4 points] Suppose you wanted to know the variance of a LAD (Least Absolute Deviations, i.e., conditional median) estimator, but didn't know the formula for it. Write Stata code for a Monte Carlo experiment that would give an estimate of the variance of the estimated LAD coefficients. If you cannot write Stata code, then (for partial credit) you may write out how you would implement this more generically.

use "some data set.dta"

\* x is a regressor, y is a regressand

qreg y x, q(0.5)

matrix coefs=e(b)

\* could write "LAD y x" or "median y x" or whatever they think the stata command is.

forval i=1/1000 {

qreg y x, q(0.5)

matrix coefs=coefs\e(b)  
 }  
 \* could loop any way they want; could get the coefs any way they want  
 svmat coefs\_, matrix(coefs)  
 \* could use any command they want to turn the matrix of coefficients by iteration into a pair of series  
 summ coefs\*  
 \*look at the standard deviations of the constant and x coefficient

any halfway good attempt at writing stata code is okay here.

4. [4 points] Suppose you have an AR1 regression model with a time trend:

$$Y_t = X_t\beta + \delta t + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho\varepsilon_t + u_t$$

$$u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

a) Let  $\rho = 0.5$ . What is the covariance between the error term in period  $t$  and the error term in period  $t-3$ ?

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho\varepsilon_{t-1} + u_t = \rho(\rho\varepsilon_{t-2} + u_{t-1}) + u_t = (\rho^2\varepsilon_{t-2} + \rho u_{t-1}) + u_t = \rho^3\varepsilon_{t-3} + \rho^2u_{t-2} + \rho u_{t-1} + u_t$$

i)  $E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_{t-3}) = E(\varepsilon_t (\rho^3\varepsilon_{t-3} + \rho^2u_{t-2} + \rho u_{t-1} + u_t)) = \rho^3\sigma_\varepsilon^2$

ii) can also write out in terms of the variance of  $u$ :

$$\text{iii) } \rho^3\sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \rho^3 \frac{\sigma_u^2}{1-\rho^2}$$

b) Describe the Newey-West variance matrix for the estimated coefficients (how does it differ from the standard OLS variance matrix).

i)  $V(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = (X'X)^{-1} X' \Omega X (X'X)^{-1}$  where  $\Omega$  is the expectation of the outer product of the errors.

ii) You can get an estimate of  $\Omega$  by regressing the residual on its lag (or, in this case, you can use our prior knowledge that this coefficient is 0.5).

iii) Using this, construct the entire matrix  $\Omega$ , and stick it in to the variance estimate.

iv) if they propose a GLS estimator rather than a robust variance, and do it right, give them the point.

c) Suppose  $\rho = 1$ . What is the variance of  $\varepsilon$ ?

i) it is infinite in the limit. if  $\rho = 1$ , then  $\varepsilon_t = \sum_{s=1}^t u_s$  and  $V(\varepsilon_t) = t\sigma^2$

d) Suppose  $\rho = 1$ . How might you estimate the parameters  $\beta$ ?

i) you could difference the equation, which would yield

$$\Delta Y_t = \Delta X_t \beta + \delta + \Delta \varepsilon_t = \delta + \Delta X_t \beta + u_t$$

$$u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

this model has a constant term, and normally distributed errors

5. [4 points] Consider the binary choice probit model:

$$Y_i^* = X_i\beta + \varepsilon_i$$

$$Y_i = 1 \text{ if } Y_i^* > 0$$

$$\varepsilon_i \sim N(0,1)$$

a) Write out the log of the likelihood for a single observation of  $Y, X$ .

$$P[Y = 1 | X] = P[X\beta + \varepsilon > 0] = P[\varepsilon > -X\beta] = 1 - \Phi(-X\beta)$$

$$i) P[Y = 0 | X] = P[X\beta + \varepsilon \leq 0] = P[\varepsilon \leq -X\beta] = \Phi(-X\beta)$$

$$\ln L_i = I(Y_i = 1) * \ln(1 - \Phi(-X_i\beta)) + (1 - I(Y_i = 1)) * \Phi(-X_i\beta)$$

ii) anything approaching the above is okay here.

b) Write out the first-order condition of maximum likelihood approach to estimating the parameters of this model.

i) FOC, take the derivative of the likelihood over all observations with respect to the parameter vector

$$\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^N Y_i [\ln(1 - \Phi(-X_i\beta))] + (1 - Y_i) \ln \Phi(-X_i\beta)$$

ii) FOC :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{X_i Y_i \phi(-X_i\beta)}{(1 - \Phi(-X_i\beta))} - \frac{X_i (1 - Y_i) \phi(-X_i\beta)}{\Phi(-X_i\beta)}$$

iii) anything approaching the above is okay here.

c) Suppose  $X$  has 10 columns, and that one of those columns is a dummy variable equal to 1 for men, and that another of those columns is a dummy variable equal to 1 for women. You cannot run the regression with all 10 columns of  $X$ --you have to drop one of those dummy variables. Does it matter which one you drop? Why or why not?

i) it doesn't matter. it just shifts the constant term.

d) What is the probability that  $|\varepsilon_i|$  (the absolute value of the error) is bigger than 2.56?

i) check the distribution of the normal. this is the 99% critical value. So, that probability is 1%.

6. [20 points] Long Stata Question

. \*Notes: p\* are logged commodity prices, varying by province and year; z3 and z8-z22 are demographic dummy variables; totcucon and totexpen are measures of total consumption; num\* are numbers of bedrooms and bathrooms; s\* are nominal expenditures; s3 is nominal expenditure on shelter; p3 is the logged price of rental shelter. z1 is age of HH head minus 40, and z2 is its square; z4 is year minus 2002, and z5 is its square; z6, z7 are heating and cooling degrees less their averages.

```
. replace totcucon=ln(totcucon)
. replace totexpen=ln(totexpen)
. summ totcucon
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
----------	-----	------	-----------	-----	-----

```

totcucon |    155713    10.40319    .6543996    4.127134    12.73976
. replace totcucon=totcucon-r(mean)
. summ totexpen

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
totexpen	155713	10.67065	.7489869	4.127134	13.29606

```

. replace totexpen=totexpen-r(mean)
. summ totcucon totexpen

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
totcucon	155713	-2.50e-16	.6543996	-6.27606	2.336567
totexpen	155713	-1.56e-16	.7489869	-6.543515	2.625408

```

. replace mortgage_rate=mortgage_rate-6
. replace unemployment=unemployment-6
. g totcucon2=totcucon^2
. g totexpen2=totexpen^2
. rename z9 Married
. rename z10 Married_w_children
. rename z11 Married_non_children
. rename z12 Single_parent
. tab redurent

```

reduced rent reason	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
not rented	111,335	65.49	65.49
government subsidized housing	6,833	4.02	69.51
other	6,007	3.53	73.04
no reduced rent	45,834	26.96	100.00
Total	170,009	100.00	

```

. tab redurent, nol

```

reduced rent reason	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	111,335	65.49	65.49
1	6,833	4.02	69.51
2	6,007	3.53	73.04
3	45,834	26.96	100.00
Total	170,009	100.00	

```

. g renter=redurent==3
. g real_rent=s3/exp(p3)

```

```

. global v1 "p1-p13 z1-z8 z13-z22 totcucon totcucon2 totexpen totexpen2 i.yearbuip i.repairs
i.numrmp i.numbedrp "
. global v2 "Married Married_w_children Married_non_children Single_parent pricerural
pricebigcity mortgage_rate unemployment"

```

```

. xi: regress renter $v1 $v2
i.yearbuip      _Iyearbuip_1-6      (naturally coded; _Iyearbuip_1 omitted)
i.repairs       _Irepairs_1-3      (naturally coded; _Irepairs_1 omitted)
i.numrmp        _Inumrmp_1-11     (naturally coded; _Inumrmp_1 omitted)
i.numbedrp      _Inumbedrp_0-5     (naturally coded; _Inumbedrp_0 omitted)

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	155710
Model	10175.138	65	156.540585	F( 65,155644) =	1197.80
Residual	20341.1096155644		.13068997	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.3334
				Adj R-squared =	0.3332
Total	30516.2477155709		.195982555	Root MSE =	.36151

```

-----
reanter |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]

```

p1	-.4250508	.0699921	-6.07	0.000	-.5622338	-.2878678
p2	.0918609	.0761752	1.21	0.228	-.0574409	.2411626
p3	.0725983	.0191935	3.78	0.000	.0349795	.1102171
p4	-.0781673	.0045453	-17.20	0.000	-.087076	-.0692586
p5	.0178939	.0094488	1.89	0.058	-.0006255	.0364133
p6	-.3269421	.0665116	-4.92	0.000	-.4573035	-.1965808
p7	.1876591	.0726753	2.58	0.010	.045217	.3301011
p8	.1194037	.0433886	2.75	0.006	.0343629	.2044445
p9	.1570672	.0264089	5.95	0.000	.1053064	.2088281
p10	-.0800533	.1582483	-0.51	0.613	-.3902166	.2301101
p11	.1806891	.1220854	1.48	0.139	-.0585958	.4199739
p12	.028451	.0441631	0.64	0.519	-.0581078	.1150097
p13	-.4795147	.0708287	-6.77	0.000	-.6183374	-.340692
z1	-.0065164	.0000751	-86.82	0.000	-.0066635	-.00063693
z2	.0000935	3.39e-06	27.54	0.000	.0000868	.0001001
z3	-.0073339	.001908	-3.84	0.000	-.0110735	-.0035943
z4	.002194	.0040367	0.54	0.587	-.0057179	.0101058
z5	.0014288	.000196	7.29	0.000	.0010446	.0018129
z6	.0794922	.0200525	3.96	0.000	.0401896	.1187947
z7	.0071868	.0020723	3.47	0.001	.0031251	.0112486
z8	.0948562	.003082	30.78	0.000	.0888155	.1008969
z13	-.0408637	.0137139	-2.98	0.003	-.0677427	-.0139847
z14	.0984101	.0145855	6.75	0.000	.0698228	.1269974
z15	.0517597	.0139958	3.70	0.000	.0243282	.0791911
z16	.0786231	.0141981	5.54	0.000	.0507951	.1064511
z17	.066218	.0143897	4.60	0.000	.0380145	.0944214
z18	.085232	.014752	5.78	0.000	.0563183	.1141457
z19	.0976285	.0156266	6.25	0.000	.0670007	.1282563
z20	-.0025549	.0025507	-1.00	0.317	-.0075541	.0024444
z21	.0030458	.0047638	0.64	0.523	-.0062911	.0123826
z22	-.0956527	.0047608	-20.09	0.000	-.1049838	-.0863217
totcucon	.0802352	.0056915	14.10	0.000	.0690801	.0913904
totcucon2	.0120164	.0040115	3.00	0.003	.004154	.0198788
totexpen	-.086619	.0051452	-16.83	0.000	-.0967035	-.0765345
totexpen2	-.0473276	.0033326	-14.20	0.000	-.0538594	-.0407959
_Iyearbuip_2	-.0080039	.003412	-2.35	0.019	-.0146913	-.0013164
_Iyearbuip_3	.020008	.0034361	5.82	0.000	.0132732	.0267428
_Iyearbuip_4	-.0205382	.0031744	-6.47	0.000	-.02676	-.0143164
_Iyearbuip_5	-.0531177	.0034381	-15.45	0.000	-.0598563	-.0463792
_Iyearbuip_6	-.0672618	.003535	-19.03	0.000	-.0741904	-.0603333
_Irepairs_2	.0125471	.0037751	3.32	0.001	.0051479	.0199462
_Irepairs_3	.013889	.0032909	4.22	0.000	.0074389	.0203391
_Inumrmp_2	-.0344876	.01627	-2.12	0.034	-.0663765	-.0025987
_Inumrmp_3	-.040378	.0176436	-2.29	0.022	-.0749591	-.0057969
_Inumrmp_4	-.0884664	.0180487	-4.90	0.000	-.1238415	-.0530913
_Inumrmp_5	-.2303025	.0182371	-12.63	0.000	-.2660468	-.1945583
_Inumrmp_6	-.3180163	.018358	-17.32	0.000	-.3539977	-.282035
_Inumrmp_7	-.3579739	.0184514	-19.40	0.000	-.3941383	-.3218095
_Inumrmp_8	-.374259	.0185453	-20.18	0.000	-.4106074	-.3379105
_Inumrmp_9	-.3810745	.0187062	-20.37	0.000	-.4177384	-.3444107
_Inumrmp_10	-.3815659	.0189693	-20.11	0.000	-.4187453	-.3443864
_Inumrmp_11	-.3806187	.0191042	-19.92	0.000	-.4180624	-.3431749
_Inumberdp_1	.0258885	.0137893	1.88	0.060	-.0011382	.0529151
_Inumberdp_2	-.0560486	.0146689	-3.82	0.000	-.0847993	-.027298
_Inumberdp_3	-.1445476	.014921	-9.69	0.000	-.1737924	-.1153027
_Inumberdp_4	-.1447876	.0151487	-9.56	0.000	-.1744786	-.1150965
_Inumberdp_5	-.1360985	.0156154	-8.72	0.000	-.1667043	-.1054926
Married	-.0840302	.0142953	-5.88	0.000	-.1120487	-.0560118
Married_w_children	-.1145185	.0144266	-7.94	0.000	-.1427943	-.0862427
Married_non_children	-.0610549	.0151267	-4.04	0.000	-.090703	-.0314068
Single_parent	-.0191209	.0142697	-1.34	0.180	-.0470892	.0088475
pricerural	-.2895449	.0263577	-10.99	0.000	-.3412055	-.2378842
pricebigcity	.2278674	.0233883	9.74	0.000	.1820269	.2737079
mortgage_rate	.0029473	.0027494	1.07	0.284	-.0024416	.0083361
unemployment	.0076227	.0010662	7.15	0.000	.005533	.0097125
_cons	.5751035	.0145626	39.49	0.000	.546561	.603646

. \*NOTE: the regression above is an OLS regression

6a) Why are there only 155710 observations in this regression, when the first table shows 170,009 observations in the data file?  
 ---some regressors have missing values, and these observations are excluded from the regression

6b) Why is the coefficient on p3 (the logged price of rental shelter) positive? Is this a problem?  
 ---it says that the probability of renting is higher if rent prices are higher. this is weird. but, remember that it is conditional on all the other regressors. also, it is a small effect: if the price of renting goes up 10%, then the probability of renting goes up 0.7 percentage points.  
 ---any noncrazy answer is okay here.

6c) The estimated constant term is .5751035. What is the meaning of this coefficient?  
 --- this is the estimated probability of renting for a person whose other characteristics are 0, and facing the prices in ON 2002.

6d) What is the estimated difference in the probability of renting for a person aged 50 in comparison to a person aged 40?  
 --- age is normed to 40, so  $z_1=z_2=0$  for the 40 year old. they equal 10 and 100 for a 50 year old.  
 $10 * -.0065164 + 100 * .0000935 = -0.0652 + 0.0093 = -0.0559$ .  
 they are 5.59 percentage points less likely to rent.

```
. xi: probit renter $v1 $v2
i.yearbuip      _Iyearbuip_1-6      (naturally coded; _Iyearbuip_1 omitted)
i.repairs       _Irepairs_1-3       (naturally coded; _Irepairs_1 omitted)
i.numrmp        _Inumrmp_1-11      (naturally coded; _Inumrmp_1 omitted)
i.numbedrp      _Inumbedrp_0-5     (naturally coded; _Inumbedrp_0 omitted)
```

```
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -90442.243
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -63510.537
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -62898.881
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -62898.142
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -62898.142
```

```
Probit regression                               Number of obs   =       155710
                                                LR chi2(65)    =       55088.20
                                                Prob > chi2    =         0.0000
Log likelihood = -62898.142                    Pseudo R2      =         0.3045
```

renter	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
p1	-1.861741	.3077107	-6.05	0.000	-2.464843	-1.258639
p2	.2738712	.3339234	0.82	0.412	-.3806067	.928349
p3	.1917399	.0852199	2.25	0.024	.024712	.3587678
p4	-.3168121	.0196926	-16.09	0.000	-.3554089	-.2782153
p5	.0687257	.0413857	1.66	0.097	-.0123887	.1498402
p6	-1.553845	.2924411	-5.31	0.000	-2.127019	-.980671
p7	.7689789	.3229336	2.38	0.017	.1360407	1.401917
p8	.5703419	.1909369	2.99	0.003	.1961124	.9445714
p9	.7037904	.1171386	6.01	0.000	.474203	.9333777
p10	-.3159757	.6949886	-0.45	0.649	-1.678128	1.046177
p11	.7171048	.5359026	1.34	0.181	-.333245	1.767455
p12	.0994901	.1940013	0.51	0.608	-.2807455	.4797256
p13	-1.964674	.3105833	-6.33	0.000	-2.573406	-1.355942
z1	-.0231984	.0003062	-75.76	0.000	-.0237985	-.0225982
z2	.000304	.0000142	21.47	0.000	.0002762	.0003317
z3	-.0164492	.0084363	-1.95	0.051	-.032984	.0000856
z4	.0075948	.0178984	0.42	0.671	-.0274855	.0426751
z5	.0060866	.0008587	7.09	0.000	.0044036	.0077696

z6	.3701751	.0883862	4.19	0.000	.1969413	.5434089
z7	.0333142	.0090923	3.66	0.000	.0154936	.0511349
z8	.3026101	.0122407	24.72	0.000	.2786189	.3266014
z13	-.1503483	.0594889	-2.53	0.011	-.2669445	-.0337521
z14	.2683004	.0622179	4.31	0.000	.1463555	.3902453
z15	.1756516	.0602853	2.91	0.004	.0574947	.2938085
z16	.3044273	.061042	4.99	0.000	.1847873	.4240674
z17	.290972	.061983	4.69	0.000	.1694876	.4124564
z18	.3989357	.0637042	6.26	0.000	.2740778	.5237936
z19	.4868377	.0674775	7.21	0.000	.3545842	.6190912
z20	-.0287499	.0110956	-2.59	0.010	-.0504969	-.0070029
z21	.0145866	.0210307	0.69	0.488	-.0266328	.055806
z22	-.4478686	.021627	-20.71	0.000	-.4902567	-.4054806
totcucon	.4330331	.0265588	16.30	0.000	.3809788	.4850873
totcucon2	.1634092	.0192527	8.49	0.000	.1256747	.2011438
totexpen	-.4786799	.0242555	-19.73	0.000	-.5262198	-.43114
totexpen2	-.2865881	.0164655	-17.41	0.000	-.3188598	-.2543163
_Iyearbuip_2	-.021304	.0147519	-1.44	0.149	-.0502172	.0076091
_Iyearbuip_3	.0783734	.0147899	5.30	0.000	.0493857	.1073611
_Iyearbuip_4	-.0689525	.0138735	-4.97	0.000	-.0961441	-.0417609
_Iyearbuip_5	-.2021379	.0152961	-13.21	0.000	-.2321178	-.1721581
_Iyearbuip_6	-.2329569	.0154037	-15.12	0.000	-.2631476	-.2027663
_Irepairs_2	.0312077	.0162036	1.93	0.054	-.0005509	.0629662
_Irepairs_3	.0266158	.0141833	1.88	0.061	-.0011829	.0544145
_Inumrmp_2	-.0996906	.0626949	-1.59	0.112	-.2225703	.023189
_Inumrmp_3	-.1209528	.0679517	-1.78	0.075	-.2541357	.0122301
_Inumrmp_4	-.2612263	.069403	-3.76	0.000	-.3972538	-.1251989
_Inumrmp_5	-.6171201	.0701324	-8.80	0.000	-.7545771	-.479663
_Inumrmp_6	-.926903	.0707678	-13.10	0.000	-1.065605	-.7882007
_Inumrmp_7	-1.139851	.0714445	-15.95	0.000	-1.279879	-.999822
_Inumrmp_8	-1.256591	.0722209	-17.40	0.000	-1.398141	-1.115041
_Inumrmp_9	-1.329916	.0736204	-18.06	0.000	-1.474209	-1.185623
_Inumrmp_10	-1.349727	.0759006	-17.78	0.000	-1.49849	-1.200965
_Inumrmp_11	-1.399929	.0773143	-18.11	0.000	-1.551462	-1.248395
_Inumberdp_1	.0953498	.0530575	1.80	0.072	-.0086409	.1993405
_Inumberdp_2	-.1366849	.0562902	-2.43	0.015	-.2470118	-.026358
_Inumberdp_3	-.4813989	.0574812	-8.37	0.000	-.59406	-.3687378
_Inumberdp_4	-.5233803	.059133	-8.85	0.000	-.6392788	-.4074817
_Inumberdp_5	-.4639827	.0626128	-7.41	0.000	-.5867016	-.3412639
Married	-.3529803	.061529	-5.74	0.000	-.4735749	-.2323858
Married_w_children	-.4322799	.061947	-6.98	0.000	-.5536938	-.3108659
Married_non_children	-.2106902	.0651661	-3.23	0.001	-.3384134	-.0829671
Single_parent	-.0996535	.0611975	-1.63	0.103	-.2195985	.0202915
pricerural	-1.152308	.1155756	-9.97	0.000	-1.378832	-.9257841
pricebigcity	1.020807	.1043466	9.78	0.000	.8162918	1.225323
mortgage_rate	.0163281	.0120703	1.35	0.176	-.0073292	.0399855
unemployment	.0348059	.0047158	7.38	0.000	.0255631	.0440488
_cons	.1403869	.0594509	2.36	0.018	.0238654	.2569085

NOTE: the regression above is a PROBIT regression. The probit regression model is given in question 5 above.

6e) The constant term `_cons` is only .1403869 in this PROBIT regression. Why is it so much lower than in the linear regression?

--- the constant term in the probit is not equal to the probability for that person with all characteristics equal to 0. the probability in a probit is the probability that a normal variate is larger than the negative of that value:  $1 - \Phi(-0.14)$ , which is a little over 50%.

```
. test $v2

( 1) [renter]Married = 0
( 2) [renter]Married_w_children = 0
( 3) [renter]Married_non_children = 0
( 4) [renter]Single_parent = 0
( 5) [renter]pricerural = 0
( 6) [renter]pricebigcity = 0
```



```
( 7) [renter]mortgage_rate = 0
( 8) [renter]unemployment = 0

      chi2( 8) = 713.09
      Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

6f) The Wald test statistic for the joint hypothesis that all elements of  $v_2$  may be excluded from the probit is 713. What is the (approximate) probability that a chi-squared with 8 df is at least this large?

--- the p-value is given there: about zero.

```
.
. predict ystar, xb
(14299 missing values generated)
. quietly g invmills=0
. quietly replace invmills=normalden(ystar)/normal(ystar) if renter==1
. quietly replace invmills=normalden(-ystar)/normal(-ystar) if renter==0

. summ real_rent invmills if invmills~=.

```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
real_rent	155710	2531.807	4198.979	0	66235.34
invmills	155710	.4498801	.443652	.0000214	3.159213

```
. xi: regress real_rent $v1 invmills if renter==1
i.yearbuip      _Iyearbuip_1-6      (naturally coded; _Iyearbuip_1 omitted)
i.repairs       _Irepairs_1-3      (naturally coded; _Irepairs_1 omitted)
i.numrmp        _Inumrmp_1-11     (naturally coded; _Inumrmp_1 omitted)
i.numbedrmp     _Inumbedrmp_0-5   (naturally coded; _Inumbedrmp_0 omitted)

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41665
Model	1.4016e+11	58	2.4166e+09	F( 58, 41606) =	227.21
Residual	4.4252e+11	41606	10636035.8	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.2405
				Adj R-squared =	0.2395
Total	5.8269e+11	41664	13985347.8	Root MSE =	3261.3

real_rent	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
p1	6412.758	1156.416	5.55	0.000	4146.159 8679.358
p2	5865.057	1130.947	5.19	0.000	3648.378 8081.736
p3	-1495.396	278.081	-5.38	0.000	-2040.44 -950.3509
p4	450.834	81.73091	5.52	0.000	290.6397 611.0283
p5	-203.7347	135.8644	-1.50	0.134	-470.0318 62.56234
p6	-1160.553	1055.021	-1.10	0.271	-3228.416 907.3108
p7	-3860.289	917.3173	-4.21	0.000	-5658.25 -2062.328
p8	808.8198	637.5209	1.27	0.205	-440.7345 2058.374
p9	-3189.231	399.1439	-7.99	0.000	-3971.562 -2406.901
p10	12447.22	2593.313	4.80	0.000	7364.272 17530.17
p11	-7956.06	1999.014	-3.98	0.000	-11874.17 -4037.951
p12	-4518.113	704.4444	-6.41	0.000	-5898.839 -3137.387
p13	1090.39	1076.483	1.01	0.311	-1019.538 3200.319
z1	51.34882	2.412988	21.28	0.000	46.61931 56.07833
z2	.8913327	.0572563	15.57	0.000	.7791091 1.003556
z3	228.3541	33.19848	6.88	0.000	163.2844 293.4238
z4	37.39072	34.31766	1.09	0.276	-29.87261 104.6541
z5	-17.69415	3.401313	-5.20	0.000	-24.3608 -11.02751
z6	-621.2246	335.2545	-1.85	0.064	-1278.33 35.88124
z7	-194.4275	36.25851	-5.36	0.000	-265.4949 -123.3601
z8	598.695	49.27983	12.15	0.000	502.1056 695.2845
z13	68.89311	85.2827	0.81	0.419	-98.26277 236.049
z14	63.04256	84.64613	0.74	0.456	-102.8656 228.9508
z15	13.31058	46.96055	0.28	0.777	-78.73309 105.3543
z16	115.0996	60.25836	1.91	0.056	-3.008082 233.2072
z17	480.1888	72.24274	6.65	0.000	338.5915 621.7861
z18	579.4274	100.7638	5.75	0.000	381.9282 776.9266

z19	626.5881	145.2798	4.31	0.000	341.8366	911.3395
z20	214.469	43.82614	4.89	0.000	128.5688	300.3692
z21	-83.17109	74.21071	-1.12	0.262	-228.6256	62.28347
z22	-858.2166	100.6331	-8.53	0.000	-1055.46	-660.9736
totcucon	986.7603	119.918	8.23	0.000	751.7185	1221.802
totcucon2	-886.7463	83.44161	-10.63	0.000	-1050.294	-723.199
totexpen	1615.724	113.8331	14.19	0.000	1392.609	1838.839
totexpen2	740.1769	77.37537	9.57	0.000	588.5195	891.8342
_Iyearbuip_2	72.55305	57.55168	1.26	0.207	-40.24945	185.3556
_Iyearbuip_3	310.5412	56.56562	5.49	0.000	199.6714	421.411
_Iyearbuip_4	370.9285	55.73619	6.66	0.000	261.6844	480.1726
_Iyearbuip_5	536.6758	66.30353	8.09	0.000	406.7195	666.6321
_Iyearbuip_6	790.7886	66.24002	11.94	0.000	660.9568	920.6204
_Irepairs_2	167.8792	65.67489	2.56	0.011	39.15503	296.6033
_Irepairs_3	346.155	58.26232	5.94	0.000	231.9596	460.3504
_Inumrmp_2	-272.1859	175.0648	-1.55	0.120	-615.3166	70.94473
_Inumrmp_3	-306.7219	190.3589	-1.61	0.107	-679.8294	66.38557
_Inumrmp_4	-95.58319	197.1444	-0.48	0.628	-481.9903	290.8239
_Inumrmp_5	399.8653	207.7898	1.92	0.054	-7.407089	807.1377
_Inumrmp_6	750.1666	225.5383	3.33	0.001	308.1069	1192.226
_Inumrmp_7	850.8006	244.4692	3.48	0.001	371.6358	1329.965
_Inumrmp_8	644.5254	261.0922	2.47	0.014	132.7793	1156.272
_Inumrmp_9	180.525	282.964	0.64	0.523	-374.0904	735.1404
_Inumrmp_10	-320.6706	308.3378	-1.04	0.298	-925.0193	283.678
_Inumrmp_11	254.7544	323.5224	0.79	0.431	-379.3562	888.865
_Inumberdp_1	695.5946	150.0051	4.64	0.000	401.5813	989.6078
_Inumberdp_2	1117.543	162.9194	6.86	0.000	798.2175	1436.868
_Inumberdp_3	1046.668	177.96	5.88	0.000	697.8627	1395.473
_Inumberdp_4	787.7501	196.3819	4.01	0.000	402.8376	1172.663
_Inumberdp_5	1333.201	227.6538	5.86	0.000	886.995	1779.407
invmills	-1473.395	159.3335	-9.25	0.000	-1785.692	-1161.098
_cons	7993.169	169.671	47.11	0.000	7660.61	8325.727

6g) The coefficient on the inverse mills ratio (invmills) is -1473. How does this relate to the expectation of the error term in the real rent equation?

--- the expectation of the error term is  $-1473 \cdot \text{invmills}$ . that is why the selection correction works. once it is there, the error has an expectation of zero.

---any reasonable answer is okay here.

6h) How much of the variation in real rent is driven by variation in the inverse mills ratio? the std dev of real rent is \$4200. The std dev of the inverse mills ratio is 0.45, so the std dev of that term is  $0.45 \cdot 1473 \sim \$700$ . About one-sixth of the variation in real rents is driven by the selection term.

```
. predict imp_corrected
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(14299 missing values generated)

. quietly xi: regress real_rent $v1 if renter==1
. predict imp_straight
(option xb assumed; fitted values)
(14299 missing values generated)

. bys renter: summ imp_straight imp_corrected
```

---

```
-> renter = 0
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
imp_straight	114045	8548.056	1692.305	-15941.08	15460.49
imp_correc~d	114045	10447.12	2162.089	-11193.64	19094.9

-----  
 -----  
 -> renter = 1

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
imp_straight	41665	8090.797	1828.193	-7052.43	15453.17
imp_correc~d	41665	8090.797	1834.153	-7519.211	15600.79

6h) Why are the means of `imp_straight` and `imp_corrected` the same for renters but different for owners?

--- both the straight regression and the selection corrected regression go through the mean rent of renters. but the imputation for owners does not go through the mean of anything. thus, the selection correction can drive it up for owners.

---any non-wrong answer is okay here.

6i) The R-squared for the first OLS regression is 0.3334, but for the OLS regression of `real_rent` on `v1` and `invmls`, it is only 0.2405. Why is it so much lower in the latter regression?

--- these regressions have different LHS variables and different samples. there is no reason to expect their R2s to be similar.

*Some probabilities for the standard normal distribution, z.*

Prob[-1.65<z<1.65]=0.90, Prob[-1.96<z<1.96]=0.95, Prob[-2.56<z<2.56]=0.99

*Standard normal probability density function:*  $\phi(u) = \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{2}\right) / \sqrt{2\pi}$

*Some probabilities for the chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom, x, and 2 degrees of freedom, y:*

Prob[x<3.84]=0.95, Prob[x<6.63]=0.99, Prob[y<5.99]=0.95, Prob[x<9.21]=0.99

*Mean and Variance Rules for Linear Combinations:*

If for some vector  $w$ :  $E(w) = \bar{w}, V(w) = \Sigma$ , then for a linear combination  $Aw+b$ ,

we have that  $E(Aw + b) = A\bar{w} + b, V(Aw + b) = A\Sigma A'$ .

*Inverse of a 2x2 matrix:*

$$\text{inv} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$